# Applying Demand Analysis and Benchmarking in Strategically Resourcing Academic Programs 

Facilitator: Tom Shaver, Founder \& CEO, Ad Astra Information Systems<br>Panelists: Dr. Lou Guthrie, Director of the National Higher Education<br>Benchmarking Institute<br>Dr. Tony Honeycutt, Provost, Somerset Community College

## Why Benchmark?



National Community Colloge
Cost \&


## Cost Benchmarking

- Provides presidents, chief academic officers, deans and institutional researchers with benchmarks at the discipline level
- Instructional costs (salaries and benefits)
- Faculty workload
- Class size


## What benchmarking program costs?

- Gain a better understanding of costs
- Reallocation of resources
- Determine tuition pricing
- Adjust class capacities
- Staffing and faculty workload planning
- Program review
- Accreditation - empirical data linked to planning
- Strategic management decisions
- Accountability (Trustees, Taxpayers, Accreditors)


## Cost Per Student Credit Hour



## Instructional Expenditures <br> Per Student Credit Hour



## Teaching Loads by Type of Faculty



## Student/Faculty Ratios



## Peer Comparisons

Cost per Credit Hour - Math, General


## Where a Community College Situation is Similar

- Finite number of instructional classrooms
- Finite capacity for each instructional space
- Growing online enrollments
- Graduation/completion rates droppingstudent loan debt rising
- Less state funding support-more tuition dependent
- More state/federal accountability \& reporting pressure


## Somerset Community College

- Located in south central Kentucky
- Two full service campuses located in Somerset and London, four education centers located in Casey, Clinton, McCreary, and Russell counties
- Primary service area covers 13 counties
- 3rd largest of the 16 KCTCS colleges
- Selected as a Best Place to Work in KY for the past 10 years

| PTA program |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty | SCH/FallSCH/Spring |  | Total SCH | Salary Benefits |  | Individual ROI |
| Faculty | 107 | 85 | 192 | \$ 110,418.95 | \$ 44,830.10 | 18.2\% |
| Faculty | 119 | 310 | 429 | \$ 92,979.22 | \$ 37,749.56 | 48.2\% |
| Faculty | 110 | 94 | 204 | \$ 44,560.12 | \$ 18,091.41 | 47.9\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PT-Faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 336 | 489 | 825 | \$ 247,958.30 | \$100,671.07 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | mary Data | NCCBP Mean |  |  |
| Tuition Revenue $=\mathrm{SCH} \times$ | 147.00 |  | 21,275.00 |  |  |  |
| Faculty Costs (Salary + Ben | nefits) |  | 48,629.36 |  |  |  |
| FT Overloads + benefits |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Clinicals \& adjuncts+ben |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Other than Personnel (Sup | plies) |  | 5,580.00 |  |  |  |
| Percentage of SC | taught |  | 100\% | 73\% |  |  |
| Percentage of SC | taught |  | 0\% | 27\% |  |  |
| SCH taught b | FT facul |  | 112 | 139 |  |  |
| SCH taught b | PT facul |  | 0 | 247 |  |  |
| Student Fac | lty Ratio |  | 7/1 | 15/1 |  |  |
| Instructiona | cost/SCH |  | 429.34 | \$ 283.00 |  |  |
| Margin (Reve | ue-Costs) |  | 22,934.36) |  |  |  |
| ROI/\% Efficiency (R | Revenue/ |  | 34.24\% |  |  |  |

## Criminal Justice



Cost \& Productivity/Academic Divisions Quadrants


## Cost \& Productivity/Academic Divisions

Quadrants


## Course Demand Forecasting for Resource Allocation/Scheduling

|  |  |  | TOP CANDIDATES |  | 81\% <br> ENROLLMENT RATIO |  | $4,630$ <br> UNMET REQUIRED SEATS |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { MARGIN }}}{35,358}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subject | Course | Title | Candidata Type | Sections | Sections Meeded | Candidates | Seats | Seats Noeded |
| $\pm$ | ๑¢ | Mls | 201 | Intro to Mot Info Sysiems | Addition |  | 18.7 | 10 | 270 | 560 |
| $\pm$ | 0 0) | MIS | 201 | Intio to Mot Info Systems | Addition |  | 18.7 | 18 | 270 | 560 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | LAW | 250 | Legal Emvironment of Eusiness | Addition |  | 9.0 | 2 | 231 | 297 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | MKT | 295 | Fundamentals of Marketing | Addition |  | 9.9 | 1 | 308 | 337 |
| $\pm$ | -¢ | CET | 113 | Introlnformation Processing | Addition | 0 | 10.6 | 11 | 60 | 211 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | CET | 113 | Intro Information Processing | Addition |  | 10.6 | 8 | 60 | 211 |
| + | 00 | AC | 531 | Acctna Info for Decision Makg | Elimination |  | 0.4 | -1 | 25 | 9 |
| $\pm$ | ๑¢ | AC | 540 | Slobal Financial Repitng Anlys | Elimination |  | 0.2 | -1 | 25 | 5 |
| $\pm$ | 0 0) | FIN | 320 | Financia/ Markets/lnstitutions | Reduction |  | 0.9 | -1 | 54 | 25 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | MKT | 380 | Market Data Analysis | Reduction |  | 0.9 | -1 | 56 | 25 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | TM | 362 | Leadina Proiect Teams | Time Change |  | 2.0 | 1 | 48 | 49 |
| $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | ED | 592 | Curriculum InstriAssess لll | Reduction |  | 0.8 | -1 | 31 | 13 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | ME | 216 | Manufacturing Engineering Proc | No Action |  | 1.9 | 0 | 41 | 41 |
| $\pm$ | 00 | PE | 299 | Psycho-Soc Aspets of Phys Ed | No Action |  | 0.6 | 0 | 25 | 15 |
| $\pm$ | $\bigcirc$ | Cs | 502 | Computing and Commetns Tech | Addition | 0 | 0.8 | 1 | 0 | 12 |

## Impact on Instructional Budget

- Altering the scheduling trend in 2010 resulted in a savings in access of $\$ 3.4$ million dollars in projected instructional cost (cost avoidance)
- Improvements in scheduling efficiency has saved SCC over \$1,988,593 in direct instructional costs


## Instructional Load (Student Credit Hours)



## Average Credit Hours per Student



## Reduction in \# of Canceled Sections



## Average Credit Hours per Student

- A 48\% increase in the average credit hours per student since 2008
- The 1.11 credit hour increase/student from ' 13 to ' 14 generated 8,868 additional credit hours/\$1,276,962 in tuition
- Increases velocity toward completion/graduation
- Aligns with Kentucky's " 15 for 4 " initiative to increase graduation rates
- More efficient use financial aid funds
- Reduces student loan debt


## Learn More about Benchmarking and Best Practices


@EdBenchmark

Join the National Higher Education Benchmarking Institute Group

BenchmarkingInstitute.org

## Questions for the Panelists?

Tom Shaver, Founder \& CEO, Ad Astra Information Systems
Dr. Lou Guthrie, Director of the National Higher Education Benchmarking Institute
Dr. Tony Honeycutt, Provost, Somerset Community College

